

Tài liệu này được dịch sang tiếng việt bởi:



Tìm bản gốc tại thư mục này (copy link và dán hoặc nhấn Ctrl+Click):

https://drive.google.com/folderview?id=0B4rAPqlxIMRDSFE2RXQ2N3FtdDA&usp=sharing
Liên hệ để mua:

thanhlam1910 2006@yahoo.com hoặc frbwrthes@gmail.com hoặc số 0168 8557 403 (gặp Lâm)

Giá tiền: 1 nghìn /trang đơn (trang không chia cột); 500 VND/trang song ngữ

Dịch tài liệu của bạn: http://www.mientayvn.com/dich_tieng_anh_chuyen_nghanh.html

Fuzzy Spatial Querying with Inexact Inference checked Abstract

The issue of spatial querying accuracy has been viewed as critical to the successful implementation and longterm viability of the GIS technology. In order to improve the spatial querying accuracy and quality, the problems associated with the areas of fuzziness and uncertainty are of great concern in the spatial database community. There has been a strong demand to provide approaches that deal with inaccuracy and uncertainty in GIS. In this paper, we are dedicated to develop an approach that can perform fuzzy spatial querying under uncertainty. An inexact inferring strategy is investigated. The study shows that the fuzzy set and the certainty factor can work together to deal with spatial querying. Querying examples implemented by FuzzyClips are also provided.

1. Introduction

Since the spatial querying deals with some concepts expressed by verbal language, the fuzziness is frequently involved. Hence, the ability to query a spatial data under the fuzziness is one of the most important characteristics of any spatial databases.

Truy vấn không gian mờ bằng suy luận không chính xác

Tóm tắt

Vấn đề tính chính xác trong truy vấn không gian được xem là một nhân tố quan trọng để đảm bảo thực thi thành công và khả năng tồn tại lâu dài của công nghệ GIS. Để cải thiện tính chính xác và chất lương truy vấn không gian, cộng đồng cơ sở dữ liệu không gian rất quan tâm đến các lĩnh vực mờ và không chắc chắn. Hiện nay, chúng ta đang rất cần các phương pháp phân tích sự không chính xác và không chắc chắn trong GIS. Trong bài báo này, chúng tôi tập trung vào việc xây dựng phương pháp để thực hiện truy vấn không gian mờ trong điều kiện không xác định. Chúng ta sẽ nghiên cứu chiến lược suy luận không chính xác. Nghiên cứu cho thấy các tập mờ và hệ số chắc chắn có thể kết hợp với nhau để giải quyết vấn đề truy vấn không gian. Chúng tôi trình bày các ví dụ truy vấn được thực thi bằng FuzzyClips.

1. Giới thiệu

Bởi vì truy vấn không gian có liên quan đến một số khái niệm được thể hiện bằng ngôn ngữ nói (ngôn ngữ có lời, ngôn ngữ bằng lời), vì vậy chúng thường có liên quan đến khái niệm mờ. Do đó, khả năng truy vấn dữ liệu không

Some researchers have shown that the directional as well as topological relationships are fuzzy concepts [1-2], To support queries of this nature, our earlier works [3-6] provided a basis for fuzzy querying capabilities based on a binary model. The Clips-based implementation [6] shows the fuzzy querying can distinguish various cases in the same relation classes.

For instance, consider the example relationship Object A overlaps Object B. The fuzzy querying can answer: does all of Object A overlap some of Object B, or does little of Object A overlap most of Object B?

However, in these kinds of fuzzy queries, the representation of the fuzzy variables is based on classical theory. Although classical sets are suitable for various applications and have proven to be an important tool for mathematics and computer science, they do not reflect the nature of human concepts and thoughts, which tend to be abstract and imprecise. The flaw comes from the sharp transition between inclusion and exclusion in a set. In this paper we show a way to use the fuzzy set for dealing with the vague meaning of linguistic terms, in which the smooth transition is characterized by

gian trong điều kiện mờ là một trong những tính chất đặc trưng quan trọng nhất của các cơ sở dữ liêu không gian. Một số nhà nghiên cứu đã chỉ ra rằng các quan hệ hướng cũng như tôpô những khái niệm mờ [1-2], để hỗ trợ các truy vấn với tính chất như thế, một số công trình trước đây[3-6] đã đưa ra cơ sở cho khả năng truy vấn mờ dựa trên mô hình nhi phân. Việc thực hiện dựa trên Clips [6] cho thấy truy vấn mờ có thể phân biệt các trường hợp khác nhau trong các bảng lưu quan hệ như nhau. Ví du, xét quan hệ mẫu Đối tượng A overlap đối tượng B. Truy vấn mờ có thể trả lời: toàn bô đối tương A overlap phần nào đó của đối tượng B, hay chỉ một phần nhỏ đối tượng A overlap đa phần đối tương B?


Overlap. Ken phu, chong lan, chong kep

Overlan: ven nhủ chồng lấn chồng vến

membership function.

The queries expressed by verbal language often involve a mixture of uncertainties in the outcomes that are governed by the meaning of linguistic terms. Therefore, there is availability-related need for skilled inexact inferring approach to handle the uncertain feature [7], Uncertainty occurs when one is not absolutely certain about a piece of information. Although uncertainty is an inevitable problem in spatial queries, there are clear gaps in our understanding of how to incorporate uncertain reasoning into the spatial querying process. This requires performing an inexact inferencing. Recently, models of uncertainty have been proposed for spatial information that incorporate ideas from natural language processing, the value information concept, non-monotonic logic and fuzzy set, evidential and probability theory.

Each model is appropriate for a different type of inexactness in spatial data. By incorporating the fuzzy set and confirmation theory, we investigate an inexart inferencing approach for fuzzy spatial querying. The aim is to improve spatial querying accuracy and quality.



The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 briefly overviews our previous works. and shows some basic techniques and strategies to deal with fuzzy multiple relations in spatial Section describes querying. 3 an approach that can perform fuzzy queiying under the uncertainties. In section 4, FuzzyCLIPS implementation shows some improved queiying results.


2. An Overview of Previous Works

Assume that the spatial objects can be approximated by their minimum bounding rectangles (MBR). Figure 1 shows two objects in two dimensions. Based on the spatial binary model [3-6], some spatial queiying techniques and strategies can be briefly overviewed as follows.

Figure 1. Two objects in 2-D

2.1 Basic Spatial Querying

Topological and directional relationships are critical components in the retrieval of information from spatial databases, including image, map and pictorial databases. Many contributions have been made.



The authors in [10] define new families of fuzzy directional relations in terms of the computation of force histograms, which is based on the raster data. In this paper, we will take into account these two major spatial relations based on the vector data. The topological relationships express inclusion the concepts of neighborhood. A large body of related work has focused on the intersection mode that describes relations using intersections of object's interiors and boundaries. By means of geometrical similarity, we defined the topological relationships as a set: T={disjoint, tangent, surround, overlaps The paper [3] provides greater details on this. The directional relationships are commonly concerned in everyday life. Most common directions are cardinal direction and their refinement. defined the directional relations as a following set: D={North, East. South. West. Northeast. Southeast. Southwest. Northwest \}. Such relationships provided significant resource for the basic binary

spatial queries. The examples of such

queries might look like these: Object A overlaps Object B. Object A is west of Object B. 2.2 Fuzzy Spatial Querying Although the above querying method can provide topological and directional information, these kinds of information do not associated with any degrees. This means it can only perform a low level query. A typical example is shown in Figure Figure 2. For both cases that belong to the same class (or relation group), the basic spatial queiying will provide the same topological and directional relationships, i.e. Object A overlaps Object B> and Object A is west of Object B. How provide high accurate information, such as most of Object A overlaps some of Object B, or little of Object A overlaps some of Object B and so on, encourages us to make the iiirther investigation. Some strategies and techniques can be briefly described as follows (see the details in [6]).


- Partition each object into subgroups in eight directions based on the reference area (the common part of two objects) shown in Figure 3;
- Map each sub-group to a node, and assign two weights (area and node weights) to each node;
- Calculate two weighs to determine the special degree.

Where area weight can be cal culated by

AW=(area of sub-group) / (area of the entire object) and node weight can be obtained by

NW=AW • (axis length) / (longest axis length).

In order to support fuzzy querying, the resulting quantitative figures (AW, NW) are mapped to a range that corresponds to a term known as linguistic qualifiers. There is a huge body of knowledge and techniques that deal with fuzzy spatial relations in linguistic expression. In this paper, we define the topological qualifier TQ and directional qualifier DQ as:



TQ={all, most, some, litde, none}

DQ={directly, mostly, somewhat, slightly, not}. As mentioned in [9], relative qualifiers can be represented as fuzzy subsets of the unit interval and use linguistic word. Based on the classical set, the membership function of qualifiers can be defined as a binary set, that is, complete membership has a value of 1, and no membership has a value of 0. The following tables give the quantifying description.

Topological Qualifiers (TQ) Area Weight (AW)

all 0.96 to 1.00

most 0.60 to 0.95


some 0.30 to 0.59

little 0.06 to 0.29 none 0.00 to 0.05

Table 2. Directional Qualifiers
Directional Qualifiers (DQ) Node
Weight (NW)

directly 0.96 to 1.00

mostly 0.60 to 0.95



somewhat 0.30 to 0.59

slightly 0.06 to 0.29 not 0.00 to 0.05


previous works provided fuzzy queries without uncertainly that can handle the fuzziness by defining fuzzy qualifiers. However, in these kinds of fuzzy queries, the particular grades of membership have been defined as classical sets. The problem is there exist a gap between two neighboring members such as 'all' and 'most'.

Because a jump occurs, no qualifier is defined in some intervals, for example the interval (0.95,0.96). To improve the fuzzy querying, the fuzzy set theory is concerned in our continuous research.

3.1. Fuzziness Consideration

Fuzziness occurs when the boundary of a piece of information is not clear-cut Hence, fuzzy querying expands query capabilities by allowing for ambiguity and partial membership. The definition of the grades of membership is subjective and depends on the human interpretation. A way to eliminate subjectivity is another interested research field. Here simple membership functions will be considered.

A fuzzy set is a set without a crisp boundary. The smooth transition is



characterized by membership functions that give fuzzy sets flexibility in linguistic expressions. More formally a fuzzy set in a universe is characterized by a membership function (i: $U \rightarrow [0,1]$. Figure 4 illustrates the primary term of fiizzy variable area weight. Each term represents a specific fuzzy set. In the same way, the fuzzy set functions directional queiying can described as: Unlike classical set theory that can describe membership to a set clearly, in fuzzy set theory membership of a term to a set is partial, i.e., a term belongs to set with a certain grade membership. Although it solves the gap problem in classical set expression, a new problem is coming. Because a common feature of the fuzzy sets is overlapping, the qualifiers may be associated with two different terms at the intersect intervals. For instance, the topological qualifier TQ may take 'all' and 'most' at the same time. This reveals uncertainty - the lack of adequate and correct information to make a decision.

3.2. Uncertainty Consideration Uncertainty is an inevitable problem in

GIS. In this paper, we devote ourselves to explore an approach that can perform the fuzzy queiying under uncertainties. The study exemplifies whether the fuzzy set and certainty factor can incorporate in spatial queiying.


Uncertainty occurs when one is not absolutely certain about a piece of information. Given AW=0.90, the fuzzy queiying may give the following queiying phrase:

All of Object A overlaps Object B

Most of Object A overlaps Object B.

How do we make the decision according to the information? Which queiying information is reliable?

This reveals important deficiencies in areas such as the reliability of queries and the ability to defect inconsistencies in the knowledge. Because we cannot be completely certain that some qualifiers are true or others are false, we construct a certainty factor (CF) to evaluate the degree of certainty. The degree of certainty is usually represented by a crisp numerical value one, a scale from 0 to 1. A certainty factor of 1 indicates that it is very certain that a fact is true, and a certainty factor of 0 indicates that it is very uncertain that a fact is true. Some key ideas relevant to the



determination the CF are discussed as following. Case 1. Considered a single qualifier for each queiying This is a case in which only on qualifier associated with a single object is involved in each queiving result such as: All of Object A overlaps Object B Object A is directly west of Object B. Where the fuzzy topological qualifier TQA = 'all' which is associated with the object A; the fuzzy directional qualifier DQa ='directly' which is associated with the object A. If the qualifier only takes one term at given interval, the grade of membership n() can be used as a CF that represents the degree of belief. The results will look like: All of Object A overlaps Object B with CF = nall (AWaj = 0.99) = 1.0Object A is directly west of Object B ' with CF=(idirectly (NWai =0.99) =1.0 Where AW[^] is the area weight of a subgroup associated with object A; NW* is the node weight of a subgroup associated with object A; and i, jel[1, 8], I represents an integer set.

If the given weight is in the overlapping area, two qualifiers will be related. For example, the fuzzy topological qualifier of the object A takes both 'all' and 'most'. The queiving results will be: All of Object A overlaps Object B Most of Object A overlaps Object B It is acceptable if we take the qualifier that has a larger grade of membership. The certainty factor can be determined by the maximum value, that is, max{(ian(AWai **CF** =0.90),nmost(AWai =0.90)} = mu (AW* = 0.90).The final querying results should be AU of Object A overlaps Object B with CF= (lau (AWai =0.90). -As a result, the CF in case 1 can be obtained by CF=max{jiTqk(AW^ =const), kel[1,5], CF=max{jiD(^(NW.j iel[1,8]} =const),k€[1,5], je[1,8]} Where TQk is a topological qualifier such as all;

DQk is a directional qualifier such as directly, AW«i is an area weight

associated object i-node NW.j is a node weight associated object j-node * is used to represent object A or B Case 2. Considered multiple qualifiers In the querying results, many pieces of fiizzy terms are conjoined (i.e. they are joined by AMD), or disjoined (i.e. joined by OR). The examples of these types of queries are as follows: Most of Object A overlaps some of Object B Some of Object A is slightly south of Object B. Hence, to perform these kinds of queries, we have to handle multiple fiizzy qualifiers. It is easy to understand that the relationship between different object qualifiers is conjunction, and the relationship between the same object qualifiers is disjoined. According to the fiizzy set theory, the conjunction and disjunction of fiizzy term can respectively defined as the minimum and maximum of the involved facts. Therefore, the certainty factor contained multiple qualifiers can be determined by the following formulas: Consider topological relationships $CF=min\{ max\{|iTQka(AW^* = a) \},$ max(nTQkb(AWbi = a)), where ka,

kbel[1,5] & ijel[1,8] } Note: a topological

qualifier TQi=all ifka=l.


Consider topological/directional relationships CF=min{ max{|i.TQka(AW* =a) >, max{|iDQka(NWSJ =0)}, where kael[1,5] & ijel[1,8] } where a;,bj represent object node associated with object A and B, respectively, a and (3 are constant.

As seen above, an approach in which the fuzzy set and uncertainty can incorporate to perform the fuzzy queries is developed.

4. FuzzyCLEPS Implementation

FuzzyCLIPS is an enhanced version of CLIPS developed at the National Research Council of Canada to allow the implementation of fuzzy expert systems. The modifications made to CLIPS contain the capability handling fiizzy concepts and reasoning. It allows any mix of fiizzy and normal terms, numeric-comparison logic controls, and uncertainties in the rule and facts. By using FuzzyClips, it is easy for us to deal with fuzziness in approximate reasoning, to manipulate uncertainty in the rules and facts.

In the process of our implementation, all fizzy variables are predefined with the defiemplate statement. This is an extension of the standard defiemplate construct in CLIPS. For example, fizzy variables (qualifiers) can be declared in



defiemplate constructs as following: A number of commands supplied in FuuzyCLIPS are very helpful for user to access fiizzy components that they need. In our application, when the weights (fuzzy variables) are calculated, the only interested information is the value of the fuzzy set at the specified weight value. The command get-fs-value provides us a tool to access the value. The syntax of the command is: (get-fs-value ?<fact-variable> <number>) or (get-fs-value <integer> <number>) or (get-fs-value <fuzzyvalue> <number>), where <number> is a value that must lie between the lower and upper bound of the universe of discourse for the fiizzy set. A simple example just look like: 5. Querying Examples Given two objects A(1, 1) (7, 2) and B(2, 1)1)(9, 4). The previous works based on CLIPS will provide the following query information. Query results of binary spatial relationships 2D physical relations: A I os I B. Topological relations: A (overlaps) B. Directional relations: A {South} B A {South-West) B A {west } B

Little of Object A is West of Object B Object A is slightly West of Object B => Little of A is slightly West of B. Based FuzzyCLIPS, the queiying results would be look like: Fuzzy Query results with certainty factor Topological information: 83% of A overlaps 23.8% of B Most of A overlaps some of B with CF=0.778 Directional information: Little of A is West of B with CF = 1.0 Ais slightly West of B with CF = 1.0^ Little of A is slightly West of B with CF = 1.0More details for analysis are provided as following. Table 3 shows part of quantitative information stored in nodes associated with object Table 3. Quantitative information From these data, we know AWa0 = 0.8333, TQ -» { all, most};

6. Conclusion

world. fuzziness In real and uncertainty can occur simultaneously. To improve spatial queiying accuracy, our research investigates an inexact inferencing approach that can perform fuzzy queiying under uncertainty. The reliability of queiying information is judged by a certainty factor (CF). The improved fuzzy querying veiy flexible, and it can return spatial information in a wider variety of forms.

5. Kết luận

Trong thế giới thực, tính mờ và không xác định có thể xuất hiện đồng thời. Để cải thiện độ chính xác truy vấn không gian, nghiên cứu của chúng tôi tập trung vào phương pháp suy luận không chính xác có thể thực hiện truy vấn mờ trong những điều kiện không xác định. Độ tin cậy của thông tin truy vấn được đánh giá qua hệ số chắc chắn (CF). Truy vấn mờ sau khi cải thiện rất linh hoạt, và nó có thể trả về (xuất ra, cho ra) thông tin không gian ở nhiều dạng khác nhau.